



PGCPS
Comprehensive
School Boundary
Initiative

Agenda

Process Overview

Phase 2 Engagement Overview

Scenario Selection & Refinement

Online Tool

Discussion/Q&A

Meeting Goals

Today we will:

- Review the boundary initiative process
- Review final scenario selection and refinement process
- Discuss next steps

Process Overview



What is the Comprehensive School Boundary Initiative?

The PGCPS Comprehensive School Boundary Initiative is an effort to best utilize available school facilities in support of the district's academic objectives. The initiative will:

- Conduct a comprehensive analysis of boundaries, feeder patterns, and program locations
- Develop three draft boundary scenarios with community input
- Refine draft boundary scenarios with community input
- Present proposed boundary adjustments to the Board of Education for approval

Visit <https://www.pgcps.org/boundary> to learn more.



Project Timeline

Jan 2021

**Pre-Scenario
Engagement**

Introduce planning process
Share data related to district challenges
Understand community priorities

Feb 2021 - May 2021

**Scenario
Development**

Three scenarios by ES, MS, HS
Develop with community input

June - Nov 2021

**Phase II
Engagement**

Focus on scenarios
Collect community feedback

Dec 2021 - Sept 2022

**Final Scenario
Development**

Select and refine one draft scenario into final scenario
Incorporate community inputs
Boundary change approval process

Three Draft Scenarios

Each draft scenario has a unique set of goals and parameters.

1



Scenario 1

Address Utilization Extremes and Minimize Rezoning

Address the most severe instances of over- and under-utilization.

Redistrict as few students as possible.

2



Scenario 2

Improve Utilization as Widely as Possible

Optimize utilization as widely as possible.

Reduce the number of temporary classrooms in use, particularly those in poor condition.

Consolidate elementary schools as needed, prioritizing utilization.

3



Scenario 3

Maximize the Students Attending School in Updated Facilities

Ensure as many students as possible attend school in the newest/ most updated facilities.

Remove the need for all temporary classrooms aside from those in good condition.

Engagement Overview

There were two phases of engagement during the School Boundary Initiative. Each phase used a variety of strategies to engage community members. All engagement was virtual due to COVID-19.

Activities and Reach (Phases 1 and 2)



- **10 community conversations** (virtual public meetings) engaging **2,570 participants**.
- **An online survey and comment form** that received a total of **1,948 responses**.
- **A project website** that received over **13,194 views**.
- **An online tool** with over **4,500 unique users**.




Online Survey: Participants and Priorities

Scenario 1




Scenario 2

Scenario 3

Percent of Respondents Strongly Satisfied



Percent of Respondents Strongly Unsatisfied



Engagement Recap

Key Takeaways

- Across all engagement activities, **over-utilization was seen as the greatest priority** for the district to address
- **Engagement trends point to Scenario 2 as the preferred scenario for participating community members** (including direct responses in the survey; priorities and comments in meetings; alignment with participant priorities)
- Many participants are concerned about how **development, school quality, and distance to school/transportation** will be taken into account in the final boundaries.

Note: certain groups were underrepresented across all engagement, and it is important to take into account those underrepresented views. Spanish speakers/Latinx residents, for example, were underrepresented in Phase 2 and ranked school facility condition more highly than other groups.

Scenario Selection & Refinement

Scenario 2 was selected as the final scenario based on an in-depth review and analysis of the community engagement and corresponding alignment among PGCPS leadership.

Scenario Selection & Refinement

Engagement Summary

WXY conducted an analysis of Phase 2 engagement including community meetings, survey results, emails and online tool usage.

CEO Review

WXY reviewed the Phase 1 and 2 engagement with Dr. Goldson and the PGCPs project team in detail.

PGCPs selected Scenario 2.

PGCPs Review

WXY conducted five charrettes with the project team and routers from the Transportation department.

During the sessions, each proposed change was reviewed in detail.

Refinement Process

WXY implemented the agreed upon refinements and recalculated a set key metrics.

BAC Review

WXY to review scenario selection and refinement process with BAC and collect additional feedback.

Refinement Criteria

Walk Zone

**Distance to
School**

**Assignment
& Community
Stability**

Consolidation

Utilization


Feeder

Scenario 2 Update Summary

Summary information

- Reduces number of elementary schools with split articulation pattern from 20 to 14.
- Reduces number of middle schools with split articulation pattern from 27 to 18.
- Improves count of elementary walkers by 500.
- No change to average distance to school.
- Recommend elementary/ middle boundary changes be adopted as Phase I; and high school boundary changes be revisited as Phase II when new school and programmatic changes come online.

District 3 Map



- Elementary School
- Middle School
- High School
- K-8 School
- Board District Boundary

District 3 Summary

Summary information

- District 3 total population: 17,374
- District 3 RG population: 15,039
- Impacted population count: 3,705
- Impacted population: 21%

Key Benefits

- Opening of new schools and expansions, including the new Adelphi MS
- Number of very over-utilized schools reduced from 8 to 5

RG = students who attend their neighborhood school

District 3 Summary

EXISTING ASSIGNMENT	NEW ASSIGNMENT	STUDENTS IMPACTED	TOTAL
Phase 1A (SY23-24)			
Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	1,228
ADELPHI ES	CHEROKEE LANE ES*	40	
ADELPHI ES	MARY HARRIS "MOTHER" JONES ES	77	
CAROLE HIGHLANDS ES	RIDGECREST ES	83	
CHILLUM ES	THOMAS S STONE ES	59	
COOL SPRING ES	LANGLEY PK- MCCORMICK ES	200	
EDWARD M FELEGY ES	UNIVERSITY PARK ES	96	
HYATTSVILLE ES	RIVERDALE ES	4	
LANGLEY PARK-MCCORMICK ES	CHEROKEE LANE ES*	264	
LEWISDALE ES	ROSA L PARKS ES	52	
MARY HARRIS "MOTHER" JONES ES	CAROLE HIGHLANDS ES	176	
MARY HARRIS "MOTHER" JONES ES	LANGLEY PK- MCCORMICK ES	120	
ROGERS HEIGHTS ES	MT RAINIER ES	57	
Middle	Middle	Middle	1,846
BUCK LODGE MS	SONIA SOTOMAYOR MS AT ADELPHI*	1,024	
GREENBELT MS	BUCK LODGE MS	200	
MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR. MS	BUCK LODGE MS	387	
NICHOLAS OREM MS	HYATTSVILLE MS*	213	
NICHOLAS OREM MS	ADELPHI MS*	22	
Phase 1B (SY24-25)			
Middle	Middle	Middle	202
WILLIAM WIRT MS*	HYATTSVILLE MS*	202	
Phase 1C (SY25-26)			
Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	429
ADELPHI ES	COOL SPRING ES*	429	

Online Tool

<https://www.wxyplanning.com/pgcps-boundary-tool-phase2/>

Questions



PGCPS
Comprehensive
School Boundary
Initiative